using routing domains / routing tables in a production network

Peter Hessler phessler@openbsd.org

OpenBSD

27 September, 2014

rtable vs rdomain

- rtable
 - alternate routing table, usable with the same interfaces
 - ip addresses cannot overlap
 - multiple rtables can belong to a single rdomain
 - can be used for Policy Based Routing

rtable vs rdomain

rdomain

- completely independent routing table instance
- assign 10.0.0.1/16 a dozen times
- interfaces can be assigned to only one rdomain at a time
- how we 'know' which one incoming packets should use
- rdomains always contain at least one rtable

rdomains

- first added in OpenBSD 4.9, released October 2009
- initially was IPv4 only
- IPv6 support added in OpenBSD 5.5, released May 2014

vrf-lite vs full vrf

- vrf-lite
 - multiple routing tables
 - done by hand
 - very common in smaller enterprises
 - only needs a single system
 - ...where most of my experience comes from
- vrf

vrf-lite vs full vrf

- vrf-lite
- vrf
 - also known as 'mpls'
 - requires bgp, ldpd and large networks
 - most frequently used to connect multiple sites in a single network

caveats

- default routes for all the domains!
 - seriously
 - the 'do we have a valid route' check happens *before* pf
 - very common mistake
- debugging can be painful
- which route will be used?
- but, how do we send (some) traffic to a different rdomain?

```
$ ifconfig re0 rdomain 1
$ ifconfig re0 10.0.0.10/16
$ ifconfig lo1 rdomain 1
$ ifconfig lo1 127.0.0.1/8
$ route -T 1 add default 10.0.0.1
$ route -T 1 exec /usr/sbin/sshd
```

```
$ ifconfig em0
em0: flags=28843<UP,BROADCAST,...> rdomain 1 mtu 1500
  lladdr 28:d2:44:ac:5d:59
  priority: 0
  media: Ethernet autoselect (none)
  status: no carrier
  inet 10.0.0.1 netmask 0xffff0000 broadcast 10.0.255.255
$ ifconfig lo1
lo1: flags=28049<UP,LOOPBACK,...> rdomain 1 mtu 32768
  priority: 0
  groups: lo
  inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 0xff000000
```

```
$ netstat -T1 -rnf inet
```

Routing tables

Internet:

Destination	Gateway	Flags	~	Prio	Iface
default	10.0.0.1	GS	~	8	em0
10.0/16	link#1	C	~	4	em0
10.0.0.1	28:d2:44:ac:5d:59	HL1	~	1	100
10.0.255.255	link#1	HLb	~	1	em0
127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	UH	~	4	lo1

```
pf.conf:
anchor "cust1.example.com" on rdomain 15 {
    block
    pass proto icmp
    pass proto tcp from any to any port 80
}
pass in on rdomain 2 rtable 4
pass out from 10.0.0.0/16 to any nat-to (egress) rtable 20
```

shared infrastructure (vrf-lite)

- very common
- just a management network
- two rdomains, one pipe
- backup servers
- monitoring
- etc

full vrf

- Idpd
 - label distribution protocol daemon
 - distributes mpls label mappings
- bgpd
 - distibute our networks over the mpls "tunnel"

- route -T 1 exec
- adding rdomain to an interface
- ftp-proxy
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
- on rdomain

- route -T 1 exec
 - originally for testing and hacking, turned out to be very useful
 - recommended method to start a daemon in a second rdomain
 - ...except a few network tools and a limited number of daemons
- adding rdomain to an interface
- ftp-proxy
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
- on rdomain

- route -T 1 exec
- adding rdomain to an interface
 - erases IP address config
 - vlan vs parent interface
 - carp
- ftp-proxy
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
- on rdomain

- route -T 1 exec
- adding rdomain to an interface
- ftp-proxy
 - sometimes, you simply want to ftp from *and* to different rdomains
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
- on rdomain

- route -T 1 exec
- adding rdomain to an interface
- ftp-proxy
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
 - normal solution to needing services in a second rdomain? run the daemon again
 - running a second ntpd to provide time? Holy clock-skew Batman!
- on rdomain

- route -T 1 exec
- adding rdomain to an interface
- ftp-proxy
- source and destination rdomains matter
- ntpd
- on rdomain
 - you want to match packets traveling on an rdomain

best practices

- default routes for all the things
 - as i said, real common mistake
- pf.conf tricks
- spend extra time in the planning stages

very special thanks

- henning@ for adding the multiple routing table support
- claudio@ writing the code and for putting up with all of my asinine questions when we first tested
- reyk@ for lots of work in bringing this into the tree and funding this via his (former) company

Questions?

