strlcpy and strlcat

consistent, safe, string copy and concatenation

Todd C. Miller
<Todd.Miller@cs.colorado.edu>

Theo de Raadt
<deraadt@openbsd.org>

Overview

- **Rationale**
- **What's wrong with using strncpy/strncat?**
- **How do strlcpy/strlcat help?**
- **What they don't do**
- **Implementation**
- **Who's using them?**
- **Where to get the code**

Rationale

- **Buffer overflows have become trivial to exploit**
  - **Access to source code helps both sides**
  - **Programmers are eradicating strcpy/strcat from setuid programs**
  - **Need something to easily replace calls to strcpy/strcat**
  - **strncpy/strncat are not a good match**

Why not use strncpy/strncat?

strncpy/strncat not well suited to size-bounded operations

- **Non-intuitive API (lots of people get it wrong)**
- **Inconsistent use of the length/size parameter**
- **Difficult to detect truncation**
- **NUL fill in strncpy( ) has a hidden cost**

Why Not (continued)

- **strncpy/strncat API non-intuitive**
  - **Found lots of misuse when auditing OpenBSD**
  - **Many programmers assume strncpy( ) guarantees NUL-termination--it does not**
  - **The programmer must clear the last byte manually in case strlen(src) >= sizeof(dst)**

Why Not (continued)

- **Length parameter used inconsistently**
  - **For strncpy( ) it is sizeof(dest)**
    For strncat( ) it is sizeof(dest) - 1
  - **Length parameter for strncat( ) must usually be computed--often incorrectly Eg:**
    strncat(path, file, sizeof(path) - strlen(path) - 1);
- **Difficult to detect truncation**
  - **For strncpy, must check strlen(src)**
  - **For strncat, must save the old length of dst**

NUL fill in strncpy( ) has a hidden cost

- **Found strncpy( ) of a small string into a 1K buffer to be 3-5 times slower than strcpy( ) depending on the CPU.**
  - **This is the worst case scenario since you are clearing many more bytes than you copy--but it is also a very common case. Consider copying a pathname info a buffer of size MAXPATHLEN.**
  - **Probably not just the cost of clearing bytes, but effectively fushing the data cache.**
- **strlcpy( ) performs almost as well as strcpy( )**

How do strlcpy/strlcat help?

size_t strlcat (char *dst, const char *src, size_t siz)
size_t strlcpy (char *dst, const char *src, size_t siz)

- **Consistent, unambiguous interface**
  - **Always NUL-terminate the destination**
  - **Size parameter is the full size of the destination (Eg: `sizeof(buf)`)**
  - **Neither function zero-fills the destination (except for the final NUL to terminate the string).**

How do strlcpy/strlcat help? (continued)

- **Both functions provide a useful return value**
  - **Return the length of the dst string as if there was infinite space**
  - **For strlcpy( ) this is just strlen(src)**
  - **For strlcat( ) this is strlen(src) + strlen(orig_dst)**
  - **Similar to BSD and C9X snprintf( ) return value**
  - **Makes checking for truncation easy**
    If rval >= siz, truncation occurred

What strlcpy/strlcat are not...

- They are not an attempt to somehow "fix" string handling in C
  - If that's what you want there are other options (including C++)
- They only operate on normal C strings
  - Source string must end in a NUL since we traverse the entire string
  - Not usable for strings in `struct utmp` for example

Simplest implementation of strlcpy()

```
size_t strlcpy(char *dst, const char *src,
    size_t siz)
{
   size_t n;
   size_t slen = strlen(src);

   if (siz) {
      if ((n = MIN(slen, siz - 1)))
         memcpy(dst, src, n);
      dst[n] = '\0';
   }
   return(slen);
}
```

Simplest implementation of strlcat()

```
size_t strlcat(char *dst, const char *src,
    size_t siz)
{
   size_t dlen = strlen(dst);

   /* Make sure siz is sane */
   if (dlen < siz - 1)
      return(dlen + strlcpy(dst + dlen,
          src, siz - dlen));
   else
      return(dlen + strlen(src));
}
```

Who's using strlcpy/strlcat?

- **Operating Systems**
  - **First shipped with OpenBSD 2.4**
  - **Approved for inclusion in a future release of Solaris**
- **Applications**
  - **Used by the *rsync* package**
  - **Simple implementation makes it easy to check for the function in a configure script and provide it if needed**

Where to get the code

- **OpenBSD 2.5 CD's**
- **Any OpenBSD ftp mirror**
  - **pub/OpenBSD/lib/libc/string/strlcpy.c**
  - **pub/OpenBSD/lib/libc/string/strlcat.c**
  - **pub/OpenBSD/lib/libc/string/strlcpy.3**
- **<Todd.Miller@cs.colorado.edu>**
- **<deraadt@openbsd.org>**